

The Chaparral Land Conservancy

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for snow and/or winter activities. Applicants must ensure the activities and/or equipment requested are not and/or cannot be funded by the OHMVR Division Winter Program (commonly referred to as the Snow Grooming Program).

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for the maintenance of roads and/or trails, note that only roads and/or trails that allow "green sticker" off-highway vehicles are allowed to receive grant funding.

Applicants are reminded that no grant funds and/or match can be expended on project activities conducted in any land owned or managed by the California Department of Parks and Recreation.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #8b – Applicant must provide date of reference document.
- #11a – It is unclear if the literature provided to the public is free and safe and responsible OHV recreational practices are addressed. Additionally, it is unclear if the kiosks provide information concerning safe and responsible OHV Recreation.
- #13 – This question is to address the Applicant's OHV outreach efforts, not the land manager's efforts. It is unclear if applicant's selections represent their own efforts.

Restoration – Eastern San Diego

G15-04-71-R02

Project Description

- No comment.

Project Cost Estimate

- Staff – “TCLC Assistant Project Manager”, the duties listed appear to be indirect. Indirect costs must be moved to the Indirect category.
- Staff – “BLM Recreation Planner”, “BLM Supervisory Recreation Planner”, “BLM Resource Supervisor”, “BLM Archeologist”, “BLM GIS Specialist”, “BLM Botanist/Wildlife Biologist”, “BLM Wilderness Coordinator” – applicant must provide detailed duties of activities to be performed for each of these line items.
- Materials/ Supplies- “Vehicle Gates” and “Non-Motorized Route Trail Gates”, the Project Cost Estimate had additionally requested funding for a “Gates Installation Contractor”. Applicant needs to provide an explanation as to why the materials necessary to complete the “Gate Installation Contractor” contract are separated out from the contract.
- Materials/ Supplies- “Sign Decals”, the Project Cost Estimate had additionally requested funding for a “Signs Installation Contractor”. Applicant needs to provide an explanation as to why the materials necessary to complete the “Signs Installation Contractor” contract are separated out from the contract.

Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Narrative does not support “Stream or other watercourses”. Applicant must identify the watercourses and/or streams.
- #8 – The narrative does not support how the partner organization will participate in this project.